The Denial of Relevance: Avoidance, Awakening, & Guidance

The 19th Century shines brightly upon the 21st Century’s call for research of information and religion to gain spiritual knowledge. American author Henry David Thoreau (1854/1992) observed that “The mass of men lead lives of quiet desperation” (7), and he sought to “awaken” his neighbors. Across the Atlantic, English Cardinal John Henry Newman (1868) asserted, “Many… refuse to be awakened, and think their happiness consists in continuing as they are” (58). T.S. Eliot heralded the perennial questions we find persistent each day: “Where is the Life we have lost in living? Where is the wisdom we have lost in knowledge? Where is the knowledge we have lost in information?” (5). Such observations reveal what is true of modern humanity: we face an information need for solution or awakening to fulfill potential, to encounter information essential to well-being, and to identify and achieve our dreams.

My interdisciplinary approach to “Intersections of interests in the study of information and religion, where different disciplines might find it worthwhile to collaborate in research,” employs a “holistic” and "heuristic" (O’Connor & Copeland, 2003, 95, 102, 113) framework among “epistemological priorities” (97, 140). It presents an analysis embracing interdisciplinary information science, neuroscience, literature, economics, philosophy, theology, and the arts. These disciplines, though seemingly disparate in concern, fill information gaps in the human mind. I contend that religious issues are conducive to information-science analysis, particularly due to their metaphysical nature, and potentially useful in addressing information-avoidance behaviors. That pairing of ideas—encompassing this variety of informational sources—may better equip humanity to overcome the “quiet desperation” evoked by Thoreau and
to respond to Eliot’s query, “Where is the Life we have lost in living? . . . Where is the knowledge we have lost in information?”

Information users today may benefit from an integrated outlook on theology and information to overcome concerns relating to denial, cognitive dissonance, and avoidance behaviors. To that end, I also relate decision-making processes to research of information-science professionals who stand better to navigate a broad expanse of socioeconomic issues. The destination of this voyage will be a pragmatic yet spiritual way of wrestling with information denial and charting the currents of perplexing choice and decision. Reflecting this approach, *New York Times* columnist David Brooks (2011, March 13) described the theme of his book, “The Social Animal” (2011):

Yet while we are trapped within this amputated view of human nature, a richer and deeper view is coming back into view. It is being brought to us by researchers across an array of diverse fields: neuroscience, psychology, sociology, behavioral economics and so on…. The conscious mind hungers for money and success, but the unconscious mind hungers for those moments of transcendence when the skull line falls away and we are lost in love for another, the challenge of a task or the love of God. Some people seem to experience this drive more powerfully than others (4P).

**Information Question**

The academic literature suggests that information-seeking behaviors become more of a groping than a hunting approach when dealing with broad, high-level decision-making on major (and often abstract) life choices. Data from today’s culture suggest that dissatisfaction with jobs, finances, and life in general, if not outright anxiety, exists which therefore may affect those behaviors. Philosophy and literature may help to better identify and address certain issues, and in combination with the academic literature, may intimate partial causes for behavioral dislocations as well as potential solutions for their
resolution. Thus, we may ask, “Can information ultimately help information users find the way back to life?”

Eliot (1962) described the inevitable scenario every information user encounters at some point in life: “Footfalls echo in the memory, Down the passage which we did not take, Towards the door we never opened, into the rose-garden” (117). Thus, another way to pose the research question may be stated: Can information be used to increase the probabilities of reaching the “rose garden” of an information need?

Thoreau presents to us the condition of “quiet desperation” faced by decision makers today, as a characteristic in human nature that contributes to how and whether information users satisfy essential information needs. What causes “quiet desperation” and what information may clarify, resolve, or help us understand its relation to individual lives? Thoreau then implicitly posed an informational model (simplify, reduce encumbrances, attend to meaning and purpose [e.g., spirituality]), consistent with what contemporary scholars in Information Science propose as a workable solution to resolving informational behavior problems in satisfying informational needs. Merely encountering Thoreau and Eliot may awaken an anomalous state, possibly latent but existent in the interstices of consciousness and subconsciousness.

**Information Science Analysis: The Seeking Model**

Early IS scholars proposed a role for information professionals—the core of this proposal—as interactive guides to and through ostensibly disparate information sources. Bush (1945) foresaw trailblazers to establish informational paths through the maze of accumulating information, as Saracevic (1999) noted the interdisciplinary nature of IS because of the nature of the problems it addresses. Bates (1999) described IS as
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cutting across or orthogonal to content disciplines, as a meta-discipline. Wilson (1977) imagined a new professional: an “information doctor aims . . . at making prescriptions, at recommending effective techniques for attaining one’s goals. . . . In effect, he tries to put himself in a position to be able to say, Take this, and you’ll find that good things happen to you” (118-119).

Information users are often viewed in the literature on “human information-seeking behaviors” as active seekers (O’Connor & Copeland, 2003, 1; Case, 2002). This assumption can lead to a more linear analysis, which has occasioned much research (3). Additional analyses implicate at least two alternative views on how information users may encounter information needs, unresolved issues, and question states. The first has garnered some recent study under the rubric of information avoidance or denial. Seeking or denying information may involve a more active or intentional element. The second analysis invokes a less active and more abstract, nebulous, and vague sense of the entire information-behavioral process, from awareness of the question state itself to the remedy or solution of the information gap. As a result, it conjures a non-linear, “messy,” and “nondeterministic” analysis (3, 4) to reflect how humans may actually be less unaware of a question, struggle to become aware of and to grasp and comprehend it, wrestle and “grapple” with it to evaluate its situational and/or immediate relevance, and grope and “stumble” (1, 100) toward or into a resolution of it.

Information is typically defined broadly to mean “any difference that makes a difference to a conscious, human mind” (Case, 2002, 40). Uncertainty reduction may be viewed as seeking that is motivated by an “anomalous state of knowledge” or ASK (74). Information may increase or reduce uncertainty and anxiety, may stimulate and
entertain, and may not even be sought – including accidental or incidental information encounters – yet may trigger interest (Id.). There has been a move toward a subjective characterization of relevance, commonly called “situational relevance” (Case, 2002, 95), which Patrick Wilson described as “[w]hatever information solves the particular problem at a particular moment for a particular individual is relevant for that person in that situation” (O’Connor & Copeland, 118).

Case, Andrews, Johnson, and Allard (2005) addressed the history of, and intricacies about, avoidance behaviors. Since Aristotle, scholars have assumed that the impetus to information seeking is a human desire to know, and that information reduces uncertainty. However, humans may seek information congruent or consistent with prior knowledge and beliefs and avoid exposure to information that conflicts with those internal states, hence cognitive dissonance (Id.). Nor does the receipt of information necessarily change attitudes or behaviors, and differences occur in how users may interpret the same information due to their perceptions, memory, motives, and attitudes (Case, 2002). O’Connor, Kearns, and Anderson (2008) similarly described this phenomenon as a person’s “template of understanding” (18). People assess threatening messages based on certain factors: the nature of the hazard, their perceptions of the effectiveness of responses to the threat (response efficacy), and their beliefs about their own ability to achieve effective responses (self-efficacy) (Case et al., 2005).

In any event, scholars confirm the significance of avoidance behaviors: “Beyond obsessions, curiosity, and creativity, lies a host of motivations not to seek information” (Case et al., 2005, 354); “[T]he idea of avoiding information is rarely discussed” (355-356); “[W]e may neglect or avoid information that is of concern but of no interest”
“People can, and frequently do, engage in information avoidance” (Wilson, 1973, 465); “Most people engage in a combination of information-seeking and information-avoiding behaviors” (12).

Moving beyond the seeking and avoiding behaviors, scholars address another reality of the human thought process—“the nondeterministic manner in which humans often solve problems” (O’Connor & Copeland, 2003, 3). As part of this reality, there is a need for “numerous sources of assistance in seeking,” which may “point the way,” particularly due to the “vague and iterative nature of many searches” (5). Thus, an overly rigid or linear approach of typical systems may nonsensically compel the user to “Tell us what you don’t know – and do it in system terms” (6). This challenge is further compounded because the “information is not simply waiting to be found” (45). In this “constant juggling, this constant interplay . . . looking for that little anomaly . . . that does not make sense,” the information user can confront insurmountable difficulty when relevant information is “not noticed by anyone else, not even visible sometimes to anybody else” (40). Further, in assisting the user, “we cannot anticipate all the ways in which people will ask for” relevant information, so the approach should be “[t]olerant of ambiguity, uncertainty, conceptualizing, pragmatic, and visual” concepts (11), to better “address the whole human engagement with the lived world and the spectrum of questions and seeking patterns that constitute the means of navigating within that world" (10). Rather than merely placating the need, or accomplishing absolute certainty of a “fit” of information to fill a gap or need (6-7), an information professional may usefully narrow or ameliorate the vague need or abstract question state underlying a sense of uncertainty.
Life, or a living being, is equipped with at least a measure of “some reasonably successful set of adaptations for survival in a complex and diverse environment” (2). Imagining there are at least some temporary periods or situations in which a relative status quo exists can be itself susceptible to denial, especially in that “stuckness” within the “broader range of human thinking modalities [that] has become legitimate” (6). A quintessential paradox of life arises: that which may enable us to avoid demise and even to adapt can also confine us to a narrowly-restrained rut of mediocre and shallow existence, as well as superficial thinking. Amidst this context lies the overall condition of “human search frailty,” yielding “a discipline devoted largely to assisting human information seeking” (6).

The reality of human searching involves a “paradox” of mistaking “our abstractions for concrete realities” (98, 114). As a result, humans seem to wear blinders when information may be “concealed” and various forms of “dissonance” exist (98, 110). An “epistemological model [should be] hospitable to ambiguity, respectful of failures, and aware that passions are full partners of reason emerged,” which acknowledge that seeking strategies “move away from the well-articulated look-up-question state…to see more complex, contingent iterative, and collaborative-seeking behavior” (140). Humans meet a “kaleidoscope of potential realities, any of which can be readily evoked by altering the ways in which observations are framed and categorized” (16, 108). “Framing” is “a means of bringing together insights and theories that would otherwise remain scattered in other disciplines” (15), and “Counterframing” then suggests “alternative ways of thinking about their research engagement, and, perhaps more important, they can provide alternative perspectives for viewing problem
definition, interpretation, and solution in any given research inquiry" (17). In this way, the information professional may assist not merely in addressing human frailty, but also the coincident fruit of unproductive “illusions” (20, 115).

Neuroscience places this process in context. It suggests “that reason may not be as pure as most of us think it is or wish it were, that emotions and feelings may not be intruders in the bastion of reason at all; they may be enmeshed in its networks for better or worse…. People who succeed in an information economy are alert and adaptable to an ever-changing environment" (138). The cognitive processes of the brain are recurring loop mechanisms by which sensory data come in, and in which discriminating functions partition vectors to distinguish, for example, different faces and sounds, apples from oranges, through constructed networks of “parallel distributed processing” (or “PDP”) (Churchland, 1995, 11) by “vector coding” and processing (26, 29, 35, 92). New sensory data enter, and the brain partitions the different vectors by “discriminating” among those data (125, 211, 236). With a new idea, the brain compares it to existing vectors and creates a newly partitioned vector (278). “Creativity . . . is probably not a single feature or a one-dimensional phenomenon. . . . It is the capacity to see or interpret a problematic phenomenon" (278), “for the novel deployment and extension of existing activational prototypes" (279), and then “a human is in a position to find new and surprising applications of those prototypes" (279-280).

If denial or unawareness is implicated, finding such applications may be aided by “mentors” (O’Connor & Copeland, 2003, 146) or tutors who consider the background of the user and their specific needs and situation. “[P]roviding a personal rather than impersonal approach, yielding information selected on the basis of logical relations to our
concerns rather than on the basis of subject matter, taking into account one’s state of knowledge, perhaps operating in a ‘tutorial’ mode, modifying or reformulating information so as to be comprehensible and acceptable to us . . . would be of enormous power and utility" (Wilson, 1973, 468). Improving human performance may occur by activities to “improve the clarity, relevance, and timeliness of the data designed to inform people. . . [and to] try to make them simpler and clearer, thus easier to understand without extensive training [because] redesigning the data will nearly always make the training simpler and easier to achieve" (Gilbert, 1978, 175). This approach may mitigate trepidation in dealing with certain information or in making particularly difficult decisions involving a complex mix of almost infinite variables, which may not be fully capable of articulation by the person with the information need or question.

Finally, the notion of “relevance” from an IS perspective conjures ideas directly pertinent to the topics of avoidance and awakening. There is “a notion in the hunter [with a ‘hunter-gatherer brain’] that something is lacking. In a very real sense we hunt and we forage for relevance” (O’Connor & Copeland, 117). The hunt is the “search and gathering as the accumulation of information that, when applied, will bring one to some understanding or fulfillment "(Id.). Absent clarity or fulfillment in some area of life, “anomalous states can be anything that we feel is missing from our knowledge stores” (122). “The anomaly indicates that one has at least a very slight notion that something is lacking. One seeks to fill, or give meaning to, whatever this anomaly represents” (119). Therefore, “the need for relevance leads hunter-gatherers searching for whatever could solve the problem" (118). “Relevance is ‘what will answer the question…what may suggest a way of answering the question . . . [or] what will help one formulate what
may turn out to be the answer one seeks’” (Id.). Hence, “Carl Sagan notes that ancient Egyptians called the library ‘nourishment for the soul’; others talk about the ‘flavors of relevance’; and still others pilgrimage toward feeding a spiritual hunger . . . [that] provides sustenance for body, mind, and soul" (122-23, citations omitted).

Notwithstanding these aforementioned issues are derived from an IS perspective, IS nevertheless eschews being “pigeon-holed as soulless dullards” or ignoring the “design process through the image of a human heart” (105).

**Spiritual, Literary, & Economic Information: The Walden Model**

A synthesis of information from various sources may yield identification, clarification, and better understanding of the penultimate human questions, as well as assisting information users across or through the information gap. In this instance, the question state or information gap is more richly and deeply understood by Thoreau’s “quiet desperation” and Eliot’s “life lost” and “footfalls echo [past the misspent] rose garden.”

Our understanding of what these are and how they occurred is benefited from notions about information-related behaviors of seeking, avoiding or denying, and the messy “fumbling” (O’Connor & Copeland, 102), “grappling” (100), “juggling” (147), and “stumbling” (1) in our unawareness, expanded by increasing contributions from neuroscience. From this behavioral context, an interactive guidance or tutoring through information sources and questions assists in determining relevance to a user’s specific question with specific priority for timely execution, moving from question to awareness or awakening. Cardinal John Henry Newman observed: “And the disclosure of [Spirituality] is made [by] means of information…to individual minds…so that the truths
of religion circulate through the world ….” Put simply, Spirituality is disclosed by information to minds of truths.

Awakening must per force occur amidst the anomalous state of pervasive ennui and prevalent malaise. In an April 20, 2011 survey, “Young adults . . . fear graduation means tumbling into an economic black hole” (Cass, 8A). Another study found that the “American dream of life getting better for each new generation feels like a myth to many of today’s young adults” (Cass, 2011, April 19, 1A). One 23-year-old stated, “I’m literally stuck, and there’s nothing I can do about it” (Id., 7A). “Money troubles are steering the course of young lives” (Id.). At the other end of the age continuum, a recent report finds, “In a bad economy where jobs are hard to come by for young, qualified workers, seniors face serious problems finding gainful employment [and] are more likely than any other age group to face very long-term unemployment” (Mohajer, S.T., 2011, April 17).

Prior to 2011, Sanneh (2009, June 22) similarly noted that “a vague sense of dissatisfaction with the demands and rewards of the modern economy is coalescing into something like a movement,” away from “soul-destroying consequences in our new work habits—endless hours spent at flexible jobs, performing abstract tasks on computer screens.” Yoshino (2009, June 2) described a backlash against corporate America by employees feeling a lost balance between work and life and that “The rat race puts blinders on you and makes time fly.” Similarly, Dreher (2009, May 31) wrote, “Many a white-collar man works hard but lives in a world of soul-killing abstraction, where what he does, what he feels and who he is have little to do with one another . . . . The work
cannot sustain him as a human being. Rather, it damages the best part of him, and it becomes imperative to partition work off from the rest of life.”

Therefore, the quest for “an answer” persists as famously if not sardonically put forth two millennia ago by the Roman governor Pontius Pilate: “What is truth?” (John 18:38). “Within time, truth is forever underway, always in motion and not final even in its most marvelous crystallizations” (Jaspers, K., 1952/1953, 104). Hence, humanity grapples, gropes, wrestles, and stumbles through time over the ultimate questions of life, death, meaning of existence, suffering, disasters, evil, unfairness, truth, justice, randomness, determinism, and purpose. This dialectic developed at least five major philosophical worldviews (with certain founders noted), and at least two significant evidentiary worldviews: existentialist (Kierkegaard, Nietzsche, Sartre), nihilist (Nietzsche), pragmatist (W. James), absurdist or paradoxical (Camus), and religious (Moses, Buddha, Confucius, Jesus, Muhammad), coupled with “modern and postmodern modes of thought” (O’Connor & Copeland, 97), respectively. These worldviews may overlap and merely constitute symbols or messages within which meaning may be captured.

The struggles underlying these worldviews strive to attain an awakening. As Orwell (1949) described it in 1984, “Until they become conscious they will never rebel, and until after they have rebelled they cannot become conscious” (Part 1, chap. 7, 74). He continued, “And the people under the sky were also very much the same . . . people ignorant of one another’s existence, held apart by walls of hatred and lies, and yet almost exactly the same—people who had never learned to think but . . . would one day overturn the world” (Book 1, chap. 10). Thomas Jefferson (1816) similarly described
this need for awakening: "If a nation expects to be ignorant and free in a state of
civilization, it expects what never was and never will be.” Others attribute further
cautionsary statements to Jefferson: “If we are to guard against ignorance and remain free,
it is the responsibility of every American to be informed,” and “If ignorance is bliss, why
aren’t more people happy?” Or as G.K. Chesterton noted, “A dead thing can go with the
stream, but only a living thing can go against it.” These sources demonstrate that a
contemporary information need exists, also reflecting a common and ancient aspect of
human nature, in search of a solution or awakening.

The religious (or spiritual) worldview may contribute to this search from ennui to
awakening. Extending Darwin’s thinking to contemporary views, our reptilian brains
mapped to a mammalian metamorphosis and then into primates and resulting in
“hominids” (O’Connor & Copeland, 2003, 2, 10, 149). Whether through physical
evolution or cultural (Watts, 2002, 22, 86-88), humans’ evolved instincts seemingly
thrived on fear and greed motives, contributing to the information gap of ennui or
desperation. Religious texts recognized that the people are “weary, scattered, and
confused” (Matthew 9:37), “stumbling” over laws and truths (Romans 9:32), and that
“now it is high time to awake out of sleep” (Romans 13:11). The religious worldview
generally holds, though not exclusively from the others, three precepts common among
the major world religions: transcendence (including religions focused on immanence
over transcendence, yet transcendent as opposed to strict materialists), frugality or the de-
emphasis of consumption and material accumulation, and serving others.

First, contrary to the some nihilist, absurdist, or scientific materialist viewpoints,
the religious worldview contends for the existence of something transcendent or a
“something more.” “[T]here must be something in reality that transcends physical determinism; otherwise thinking and choosing make no sense at all” (Daly & Cobb, 1989/1994, 399). Tolstoy confronted his questions about life and any meaning by viewing “all human knowledge” divided into “two opposite hemispheres at the ends of which are two poles” of experimental science and of abstract science “at the extreme end of it stands metaphysics” (Tolstoy, 1882/1884, 16). H.G. Wells stated a different dichotomy: “If God does not exist, nothing matters. If he does, nothing matters more.” G.K. Chesterton (1908) struck a familiar chord: “Pragmatism is a matter of human needs; and one of the first human needs is to be something more than a pragmatist.” And an atheist worldview recognizes this need, as A.C. Grayling asserted for his book, “The Good Book—A Humanist Bible” (Ravitz, 2011): “the hunger for a spiritual connection continues.” This coincides with the information professional’s notion of relevance in terms of that sense of lacking or for something more.

Second, the major religions urge appropriately dealing with money by proper stewardship of material needs and expenditures: “No one can serve two masters . . . both God and Money” (Matthew 6:24). Thoreau questioned why people “everywhere” (4) were “serfs” who appeared “crushed and … creeping down the road of life” (5) as a “slave and prisoner” in need of “self-emancipation” (7). He posited a formula: “the cost of the thing is the amount of what I will call life which is to be exchanged for it" (25). Adam Smith agreed, “What everything really costs to the man who wants to acquire it, is the toil and trouble of acquiring it” (Dupre & Gagnier, 1996, 553). As a result, Thoreau found that by our pursuit of accumulation, comforts, and conveniences, humans render themselves “trapped,” which is “the reason he is poor” (27). As G.K.
Chesterton (1908) put it, “When materialism leads men to complete fatalism (as it generally does), it is quite idle to pretend that it is in any sense a liberating force" (22). Chesterton further surmised, "There are two ways to get enough. One is to continue to accumulate more and more. The other is to desire less." Cardinal Newman found that humans “seem hardly to go by principle, but by what is merely expedient and convenient [rather than Jesus’ description of the choice of two roads where] ‘Narrow is the way’ [which] is against the current of human feeling and opinion, and the course of the world" (Newman, 1868, 61, Daly & Cobb, 380).

More recently, professors Daly and Cobb (1989/1994) stated, “Shopping has become the great national pastime. . . . Status attaches to finding unusual goods and unusual prices. Hence any move that threatens people in their role as consumers, even if it does not deny them what they need in terms of goods, arouses considerable emotional hostility. It may make very difficult any discussion of how to deal with the national problem” of debt or squandered resources (373). That is, consumption arouses denial. Metropolitan Hilarion Alfeyev of Moscow lectured in Dallas on February 13, 2011, that “inhumanity” and “egocentrism have today reached truly universal dimension,” encountering “the propaganda of consumerist’s attitude to life . . . on a daily basis . . . . People are taught that the only value in this life is material well-being and professional success.” Instead, Thoreau in Walden proposed “to be content with less" (29). “It is the luxurious and dissipated who set the fashions which the herd so diligently follow . . . But lo! Men have become the tools of their tools" (30). As Thoreau resisted bowing to the pressures by which humans become the controlled tool, Flannery
O’Connor charged readers to “push back against the age as hard as it pushes against you” (Dreher, 2008, October 26, 1P).

Third, religious worldviews advocate serving others. An important result of Thoreau’s frugal and conservationist perspective of Walden is that time, money, and other resources are freed up to solve problems and assist others. Daly and Cobb (1994) adopted this Thoreauvian principle:

On the basis of massive borrowing and massive sales of national assets, Americans have been squandering their heritage and impoverishing their children. They have done so for the sake of present consumption, the enjoyment of the shopping that accompanies it . . . (373).

Change “will depend on finding images with mass appeal that show why the current affluence is an illusion” (373), which I propose will occur due to the “stories” (Dreher, 2011, April 24, 6P) by mentors and tutors. “[T]he real possibility for change depends on an awakening of the religious depths in a world whose secularity has gone quite stale . . . . Overcoming ['misplaced concreteness [which] brought us to the present crisis'] is a religious task” (Daly & Cobb, 380-381). But if service to others is ignored through current consumption, “Perhaps ['our children’s children'] will learn also to forgive this generation its blind commitment to ever greater consumption” (Daly & Cobb, 406). Cardinal Newman (1868) also described his generation “under a considerable danger at this day . . . of self-deception, of being asleep while they think themselves awake,” and thereby “cheated of the Truth” (63). He too adopted the prescriptions of Thoreau, Daly, and Cobb, to do with less and reduce consumption as a form of self-denial supported by the religious texts of Mark 8:34 and Luke 9:23, admonishing to “deny
himself, and take up his cross” (65, 67). Thus, the religious worldview proffers an informational model from “quiet desperation” into the awareness of our “rose garden” and “castles in the air.” This model consists of these three precepts, with an economic framework for valuing life in terms of spending, consumption, and labor.

**Conclusions**

In the face of saturnine prospects, gaining “spiritual knowledge” parallels, encapsulates, and overlays the weaving of threads from seemingly unrelated disciplines into a tapestry to bridge information gaps. Religion and IS share a natural, almost symbiotic, fit to aid humanity on questions of greatest magnitude, depth, and complexity. They can be mutually and reciprocally beneficial, borrowing from disparate disciplines to evoke an awakening event, because we “cannot anticipate” (O’Connor & Copeland, 11) the catalyst for awareness. Indeed, the interdisciplinary nature of IS itself contributes to the core ambition of religion to awaken an individual or a community, essential in resolving information needs as a “Buddha” (which means “awaken” or “enlighten”).

Samuels, an arguably modern Thoreau (also a single, male, Harvard graduate), wrote the following in his mid- to late-30’s, of postmodern ennui in the October 17, 1999 *The New York Times Magazine*:

Our inability to imagine a future together was not ours alone. It was a symptom of a larger fracture or collapse, involving however many hundreds of thousands of people in their 20’s and early 30’s who seemed to lack any sense of necessary connection to anything larger than their own narrowly personal aims and preoccupations . . . [and] the most profound of dissatisfactions: ourselves . . . . What is so new and radical about the present epidemic of selfishness is how widely, and unthinkingly, it is shared . . . . The self is the root of selfishness, and selfishness is what makes us unhappy. Too much concentration on ourselves makes us anxious, because the self cannot support the weight. That is the difference between the self and the soul.
Alice Walker’s Pulitzer Prize-winning novel, “The Color Purple” (1982/1992), conjures religion and IS concepts: “But only them that search for it inside find it. And sometimes it just manifest itself even if you not looking, or don’t know what you looking for . . . . Now that my eyes opening, I feels like a fool . . . . You have to git man off your eyeball, before you can see anything a’ tall” (195, 197, Colossians 3:1).

Information professionals may assist users in moving from avoidance to “eye-opening” awakening, thereby joining Robert Frost (1916) on the divergences encountered in life choices when he “took the one less traveled by, And that has made all the difference.” And then to crow with Thoreau, “To be awake is to be alive" (74), to build “castles in the air,” and to put “foundations under them” so “that if one advances confidently in the direction of his dreams, and endeavors to live the life which he has imagined, he will meet with a success unexpected in common hours" (267).
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